

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,636	12/19/2003	Ray Hebert	NAK-130B/US	9907
2000)	7590 03/22/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
2345 YALE ST	REET, 2ND FLOOR	AKANBI, ISIAKA O		
PALO ALTO, (JA 94306	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2886		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/22/2007		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/750,636	HEBERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Isiaka O. Akanbi	2886				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CAUSE the application to become ARANDONE.	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2006					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	e. a) \(\sigma \) accepted of b) \(\sigma \) objecte	d to by the Examiner.				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	rawing(s) be field in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Eva	eminer. Note the etteched Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	n No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not received	•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (F	TO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pate	ent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademan Office	6)					

Art Unit: 2886

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

The amendment file 12 December 2006 has been entered into this application. Claim 35 has been added.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-35 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of copending Application No. 10/741294. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method claims in application 10/741294 also provide the means for apparatus (the means claims of 10/741294 provide the limitation of the 10750636). This is a

Application/Control Number: 10/750,636

Art Unit: 2886

<u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. The claims of the applications correspond to each other as follows:

10/750636	10/741294		
1	1		
2	2		
3	3		
4	4		
5	5		
6	6		
7	7		
8	8		
9	9		
10	10		
11	11		
12	12		
13	13		
14	14		
15	15		
16	16		
. 17	17		
18	18		
19	19		
20	. 20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27	27		
28	28		
29	29		
30	30		
31	31		
32	32		
33	33		
34	34		
35	35		

The difference between the present application and the copending application is that the present application claimed wherein said broadband beam illuminates said first planar mirror and said first parabolic mirror in said first component pair and said second planar mirror and said second parabolic mirror in said second component pair at angles substantially near normal

Art Unit: 2886

to said first planar mirror and said first parabolic mirror in said first component pair and said second planar mirror and said second parabolic mirror in said second component pair while the copending application claimed at least a first set of components defining a first light path, said components including at least a first component pair of a first planar mirror and a first parabolic mirror with a first focal length and a second component pair of a second planar mirror and a second parabolic mirror with a second focal length. The copending application claimed at least a first set of components defining a first light path, said components including at least a first component pair of a first planar mirror and a first parabolic mirror with a first focal length and a second component pair of a second planar mirror and a second parabolic mirror with a second focal length that would anticipate the claims of the present application. Both are Apparatus and Method for Optical Characterization of a Sample Over a Broadband of Wavelengths.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-35 would be allowable if the double patenting rejection as set forth in this Office action is overcome.

As to claim 1, the examiner agrees with the applicant arguments that the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a means of mechanically displacing said second component pair without altering a position of said second parabolic mirror relative to said second planar mirror, whereby a focus position of said broadband beam can be altered without moving said first component pair, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim. Claims 2-25 and 35 are allowable by virtue of their dependency.

As to claim 26, the examiner agrees with the applicant arguments that the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious adjusting a focus position of said broadband beam by mechanically displacing said second component pair without altering a position of said second parabolic mirror relative to said second planar mirror, whereby the focus position can be altered without moving said first component pair, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim. Claims 27-34 are allowable by virtue of their dependency.

Art Unit: 2886

Additional Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed in the attached form PTO-892 teach of other prior art method/apparatus for characterizing optical properties of a sample.

Conclusion

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isiaka Akanbi whose telephone number is (571) 272-8658. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isiaka Akanbi March 8, 2007

> Gregory U. Toadley, Jr. Supervisory Patent Examine